

THE EFFECT OF DEGREE OF CONVERGENCE TO IFRS AND GOVERNANCE SYSTEM TO ACCOUNTING CONSERVATISM: EVIDENCE FROM ASIA

Dr. Ratna Wardhani

Graduate Program in Accounting Faculty of Economics, University of Indonesia, Depok 16424, Indonesia

Abstract

Motivated by increasing demand of full convergence to IFRS, I investigate the effect of degree of convergence of local standards to IFRS to accounting conservatism. I also examine the impact of governance system, both at country and firm level, to conservatism. This research covers a number of Asian countries, consists of Hong Kong, India, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore, Taiwan, and Thailand. This study concludes that the degree of convergence positively affect accounting conservatism. Governance system, both at country level and firm level, also has positive influence to accounting conservatism. Interestingly, the effect of degree of convergence of local GAAP to IFRS and corporate governance practice to conservatism will be stronger for companies in countries with weak investor protection. Also, I find that in company with weak corporate governance practice, the adoption of international standards will increase accounting conservatism.

Key words: conservatism, convergence, IFRS, accounting standards, investor protection, corporate governance, cross-country analysis, Asia.

1. Introduction

International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) has been adopted in many countries with different degree of adoption. Many countries have fully adopted IFRS while many others still use local Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and claimed that the local GAAP is "based on" or "similar to" or "converged with" IFRS. Degree of convergence of local GAAP to IFRS shows how much IFRS has been adopted in local accounting standards or the extend of local GAAP refers to IFRS in a country. The convergence of local GAAP to IFRS will generate more comparable financial information across nation boundaries by minimizing, if not eliminating, differences in countries' local GAAP.

Prior researches show that the use of international accounting standards has impact on various financial aspects of companies such as price reaction, cost of capital, and accounting quality (Beatty et al.,1996; Ashbaugh and Pincus, 2001; Karamanou and Nishiotis, 2005;

Cuijpers and Buijink, 2005; Barth et al., 2007). However, until now, there has been no empirical evidence on the effect of degree of convergence to accounting conservatism as a measurement of earnings quality. Most of previous researches focus on whether firms or countries adopt or not adopt IFRS without considering the degree of convergence of local GAAP to IFRS.

Besides accounting standards, governance system also play important role in financial reporting process. Based on prior researches, rule on investor protection is a key institutional factor affecting corporate policy choices (see Shleifer and Vishny, 1997; La Porta et al., 2000). Recent research finds that country-level institutional factors are associated with the usefulness of accrual-based accounting information. Several international studies (Ali and Hwang, 2000; Ashbaugh and LaFond, 2003; Ball, Kothari, and Robin, 2000; DeFond, Hung, and Trezevant, 2004; Hung, 2001; Leuz, Nanda, and Wysocki, 2003) provide evidence of association between several earnings quality measurements and the degree of protection to investor from expropriation by controlling shareholders and manager. Their studies show that property of earnings is affected by the degree of investor protection.

Provisions in accounting standards and investor protection at firm level may not be binding completely (Easterbrook and Fischel, 1991; Black and Gilson, 1998 in Klapper and Love, 2004). For instance, a firm could stand beyond country's provision in accounting standards and legal system by increasing quality of corporate governance. Previous researches has documented the relationship between corporate governance mechanisms to several earnings quality measurements such as earnings management (Dechow *et al.* 1996; Klein, 2002; Xie *et al.* 2003; Siregar, 2005; Dhaliwal *et al.* 2007), information content of earnings (Niu 2006 and Petra 2007), and accounting fraud (Beasley, 1996). These researches provide evidence that corporate governance mechanisms can increase quality of earnings.

In addition to the recent attention given to the importance of the convergence in accounting standards, another interesting empirical question is whether the accounting standards could be a substitute for or additional mechanism of governance system. One possibility is that when a company has bad corporate governance and/ or bad legal system, superior accounting standards (i.e. standards that converge to international standards) could enhance the quality of financial reporting(i.e., accounting standards could be a substitute mechanism to improve quality of financial reporting). Another possibility is that accounting standards play as an additional mechanism to improve quality of financial reporting. In this case if a company faces bad governance system, the accounting standards may not be effectively enhancing the quality of financial reporting. Daske *et al.* (2007) prove that IFRS is beneficial to countries with tight legal enforcement and good institutional environments, which provide higher incentive to high quality financial reporting.

The objective of this research is to investigate the effect of degree of convergence of local GAAP to IFRS, investor protection, and corporate governance to several measurement of accounting conservatism as one of the measurement of earnings quality. To test whether the degree of convergence of local GAAP to IFRS matters more or less in countries with weak governance system (in terms of investor protection and corporate governance), and vice versa, we examine moderating role of those governance systems to the relationship between degree of convergence and conservatism.

This study contributes to literature of international accounting standard, governance system, and earnings quality in several ways. First, this study provides empirical evidence on the effect of the degree of convergence to IFRS to the accounting conservatism in Asia. Prior studies on accounting convergence in Asia are quite limited, despite the interesting diverse characteristics among Asian countries. Second, this research contributes accounting convergence literatures by developing indexes to measure degree of convergence of local



GAAP to IFRS. This study develops an index of convergence based on 20 out of 32 standards of IFRS with four gradation degree of convergence. As far as my knowledge, there has not been any previous research on measuring degree of convergence in such way. Third, this research provides evidence on how governance system, both at corporate level and country level, moderates the effect of convergence on accounting conservatism.

2. Literature Review and Hypotheses Development

2.1.Degree of Convergence to IFRS

Previous studies in general find that the use of international accounting standards has a positive impact on financial reporting by increasing comparability and reliability of financial reporting. The use of international accounting standards has a positive impact on the quality of accounting numbers (Ashbaugh and Pincus, 2001; Gassen and Sellhorn, 2006; Barth et al., 2007; Meulen, Gaeremynck and Willekens, 2007). These studies compare the use of IFRS with U.S. GAAP or local GAAP in a country. In general, the results of these studies indicate that IFRS is more superior compared to U.S. GAAP or local GAAP of a country in the perspective of the quality of financial reports, including the quality of earnings.

IFRS are principle-based standards. The advantages of principle based standards compared to rule based standards is that a company can implement the accounting standards in accordance to their special characteristics so the financial reporting will better to reflect the economic value of the company. Ashbaugh and Pincus (2001) and Barth et al. (2007) show that standards that converge to the international accounting standards have higher requirement of disclosure and the restrictions on the choice of accounting methods.

Ashbaugh and Pincus (2001) conducted research on the relationship between the levels of convergence of local GAAP International Accounting Standard (IAS) with earnings predictability as measured by level of forcast error of analyst estimates. They conclude that the level of convergence of accounting standards with international standards enhances

company's predictability of financial statements. Gassen and Sellhorn (2006) study the determinants and consequences of voluntary adoption of IFRS for companies in Germany. The result of their research shows that companies adopting IFRS have more persistent and conservative earnings than those using German GAAP. Barth et al. (2007) state that the quality of accounting numbers is more related to the use of IFRS than to the use of non-US domestic standards. They find that companies that adopt IFRS have better quality of accounting characteristics: lower earnings management, higher timeliness of loss recognition, and higher value relevance of earnings. Meulen, Gaeremynck, and Willekens (2007) show that U.S. GAAP and IFRS differ only in terms of predictive ability. However, this difference is not considered by investors ascan be seen from the value relevance of earnings that are not significant between U.S. GAAP and IFRS.

The results of previous studies above suggest that degree of covergence of accounting standards leads to higher quality of earnings. This research use accounting conservatism as a proxy of earnings quality. Therefore, we formulate the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis 1. Degree of covergence of local GAAP to IFRS has a positive effect on the accounting conservatism

2.2. Investor Protection

La Porta et al. (2000) state that the primary key of the corporate governance mechanism is protection to outside investors (both shareholders and creditors) through both the legal system which includes aspects of regulation and law enforcement aspects. Related to the influence of investor protection for the quality of earnings, Leuz, Nanda, and Wysocki, (2003) examine the effect of investor protection to earnings management as one dimension of earnings quality measurement. They conclude that there is a significant negative relationship between earnings management to rights of minority shareholders and law enforcement. Their

research results underline the importance of the relationship between investor protections with the quality of accounting earnings.

Thai et al. (2006) investigate the impact of investor protection against the quality of reported earnings. This study employs several earnings quality such as accrual quality, earnings persistence, earnings predictability, and earnings smoothness. This study find mixed evidence, and conclude that the institutional impact on earnings depends on the quality characteristic variables used to measure the quality of earnings. Bushman and Piotroski (2006) examine on how the institutional structure of a country such as the state legal system/judiciary, capital market law, political economy, and the tax regime affect accounting conservatism. In general Bushman and Piotroski (2006) have shown that the institutional structure of state affects managerial decisions related companies with the principles of conservatism.

The explanations above suggest that legal system of investor protection can create an incentive for good behavior in financial reporting process which leads to higher quality of earnings. This research use accounting conservatism as a proxy of earnings quality. Therefore, we formulate the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis 2. Legal system of investor protection has a positive effect on accounting conservatism

The influence of accounting standards to quality of financial reporting determined by institutional factors in the countries where the company operates. Legal system and law enforcement will greatly determine the effectiveness of accounting standards in improving the quality of corporate financial reporting. Daske et al. (2007) concluded that the quality of corporate accounting reporting shaped by many factors related to the institutional environment in a country, particularly those relating to reporting and enforcement incentives and standards. That is, when firms operate in countries with good legal systems, accounting

standards will be able to play a role in improving the quality of earnings. The argument shows that the legal system relating to investor protection will increase the effect of accounting standards to earnings quality (coefficient for interaction between investor protection and the degree of convergence of local GAAP to IFRS will be positive).

On the other hand, there is an opposite argument which is if a country's legal environment is not conducive; the existence of high-quality accounting standards will greatly contribute in improving the quality of earnings. That is, when legal investor protection is weak, the existence of accounting standards is more qualified to be a substitute for legal weaknesses, so the role of accounting standards for the earnings quality improvement will be even more important. The argument shows that the legal system relating to investor protection will decrease the effect of accounting standards to earnings quality (coefficient for interaction between investor protection and the level of convergence of local GAAP to IFRS will be negative).

Two different points of view above suggest that the level of investor protection in one country will affect the relationship between degrees of convergence of local GAAP to IFRS with earnings quality. Investor protection can either increase or decrease the effect of degrees of convergence to earnings quality. This research use accounting conservatism as a proxy of earnings quality. Therefore, we formulate the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis 3. The effect of degree of covergence of local GAAP to IFRS to accounting conservatism depends on countries' level of investor protection

2.3. Corporate Governance

To minimize agency conflicts and the opportunity to expropriate minority shareholders, legal system of investor protection on the level of the state is not enough. Various provisions of the law on investor protection in a country are not entirely binding. This is due to the flexibility in the level of company that can be done by choosing to adopt an

existing or lower level provisions, or adopt additional provisions which were not available in their legal system with the aim to provide value added for its stakeholders (Easterbrook and Fischel, 1991; Black-Gilson, 1998). Therefore there is a possibility that a company in a country with the same law enforcement would provide different investor protection (Klapper and Love, 2004). This shows that the company will implement the corporate governance at a certain level in accordance with the internal conditions. Furthermore, it will affect the quality of reporting of information generated by companies' management.

Several previous studies have documented the influence of corporate governance mechanisms, such as board characteristics (eg Dechow et al., 1996; Beasley, 1996; Klein, 2002; Zhou and Chen, 2004; Siregar, 2005), boards activities (eg Xie et al., 2003; Zhou and Chen, 2004; Niu 2006; Petra 2007), and board expertise (eg Chtourou and Bedard, 2001; Xie et al., 2003; Dhaliwal et al., 2007) against some measure of earnings quality such as earnings management (Dechow et al., 1996; Klein, 2002; Xie et al., 2003; Siregar, 2005; Dhaliwal et al., 2007), the information contents of earnings (Niu 2006 and Petra, 2007), and the level of accounting fraud (Beasley, 1996).

Based on those study can be concluded that corporate governance mechanisms can increase quality of information to investors and the earnings quality. This research use accounting conservatism as a proxy of earnings quality. Therefore, we formulate the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis 4. Corporate governance implementation has a positive effect on accounting conservatism

The role of accounting standards in financial reporting is also influenced by the corporate governance system. As the legal system, corporate governance will also determine the effectiveness of accounting standards used in financial reporting process. This is mainly due to the nature of the IFRS which tend to be principle-based standards. When companies adopt good corporate governance, then the subjective judgement given by the management



(intensively used in prnsiple-based standards implementation) will aim to provide information that reflects the real economic performance of the company. Therefore, with the higher degree of convergence of local standards to standards that more principle-based, IFRS, then the requirement to implement the principles of corporate governance will be higher, so that corporate governance will be able to contribute in improving the quality of financial reporting.

The explanations above suggest that with higher degree of convergence, the implementation of accounting standard will need more subjective judgement, so the quality of corporate governance implementation is more importance in determine the quality of earnings. This research use accounting conservatism as a proxy of earnings quality. Therefore, we formulate the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis 5. The effect of degree of covergence of local GAAP to IFRS to accounting conservatism depends on corporate governance implementation

The influence of corporate governance implementation to the quality of financial reporting will also be largely determined by the legal system in countries where the company operates. The better the legal environment of a country, the requirement to implement corporate governance principles will be higher. That is, when firms operate in countries with good legal system, corporate governance can play more roles in improving the quality of earnings. The argument shows that the legal system related to investor protection will increase the effect of corporate governance to quality of earnings (the coefficient for the interaction between investor protection and corporate governance will be positive).

On the other hand, there is the opposite argument that if the legal environment in a country is not conducive, the existence of good corporate governance becomes more important in improving the quality of earnings. Klapper and Love (2004) show that corporate governance is more important in improving corporate performance in countries with weak

legal systems. The argument shows that the legal system related to investor protection will decrease the effect of corporate governance to quality of earnings (the coefficient for the interaction between investor protection and implementation of corporate governance will be negative).

With two different arguments, this study believed that the level of investor protection in one country will affect the relationship between corporate governance with earnings quality. Investor protection can either increase or decrease the effect of corporate governance to earnings quality. This research use accounting conservatism as a proxy of earnings quality. Therefore, we formulate the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis 6. The effect of corporate governance to accounting conservatism depends on countries' level of investor protection

3. Research Method

3.1. Model Development

3.1.1. Conservatism

This study will use a measure of conservatism based on the company's accrual. Givoly and Hayn (2000) states that conservatism will create persistent patterns of negative accruals. In measuring the level of conservatism, this research will also use the average value of discretionary accruals for three years with the period t as a center value, multiplied by negative one to ensure that a higher value indicates a higher conservatism. To test the conservatism measure, we use the following model:

Model 5

 $CON_{i,t} = \zeta_0 + \zeta_1 CONVERGE_{i,t} + \zeta_2 IP_{i,t} + \beta_3 GOV_{i,t} + \zeta_4 CONVERGE_{i,t} * IP_{i,t} +$

 $\zeta_5 CONVERGE_{i,t} * GOV_{i,t} + \zeta_6 GOV_{i,t} * IP_{i,t} + \zeta_7 AQ_{i,t} + \zeta_8 GROWTH_{i,t} + \zeta_9 DEBT_{i,t} + \zeta_9 QQ_{i,t} + \zeta_9 QQ_{i,t}$

 $\zeta_{10}LOSS_{i,t} + \zeta_{11}DREG_{i,t} + \zeta_{12}DYEAR_{i,t} + \zeta_{13-21}DCOUNTRY_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$

Where

CON_{i,t} : The average value of three year discretionary accrual wit year t as the

median value for company i in the year t multiplied by -1.

IP : Score of investor protection consisting of *legal origin, corporate law &*

enforcement, and securities law

CONVERGE : Score of degree of convergence of local GAAP to IFRS



GOV : Corporate governance index

AQ : Audit quality is measured using dummy variables, one if the firms audited by

Big 4 accounting firm and 0 for non-Big 4. Includes in Big 4 accounting firms are accounting firms that have affiliations with foreign firms: Ernst & Young,

Pricewaterhouse Coopers, Deloitte, and KPMG.

GROWTH : Percentage of sales growth from previous year

DEBT : Total debt (scaled by total assets)

LOSS : Dummy variable with value of 1 for loss company and value of 0 otherwise

DREG : Dummy variable with value of 1 for company in highly regulated industry and

value of 0 otherwise

DYEAR : Dummy variable with value of 1 for year of observation 2006 and value of 0

otherwise

DCOUNTRY : Dummy variable for country with value of 1 for country which firm operates

and value of 0 otherwise. Indonesia as country of reference.

i is for firm i and t is for year t

3.2. Variable Operationalisation

3.2.1. Degree of Convergence of Local GAAP with IFRS

Variable degree of convergence of local GAAP to IFRS is a measure of level adoption of local accounting standards to the international accounting standards. Standard used as a basis for measuring degree of convergence of a country in this study are as follows: (1) Presentation of Financial Statements; (2) Inventories; (3) Cash Flow Statement; (4) Net Profit or Loss for the Period, Fundamental Errors and Changes in Accounting Policies; (5) Events after Balance Sheet Date; (6) Segment Reporting; (7) Property, Plant, and Equipment; (8) Leases; (9) Employee benefit; (10) The Effect of Change in Foreign Exchange Rate/ Foreign Currency Translation; (11) Business Combination; (12) Related Party Disclosures; (13) Consolidated Financial Statements and Accounting for Investment in Subsidiaries; (14) Accounting for Investment in Associate; (15) Earning Per Share; (16) Interim Financial Reporting; (17) Impairment of Assets; (18) Intangible Assets; (19) Revenue Recognition; and (20) Financial Instrument.

In measuring degree of convergence, this study uses a scale of 1 to 4 with gradations: (i) there is no equivalent standard of local GAAP (1 point); (ii) there is an equivalent standard in the local GAAP but not the same as IFRS (2 points); (iii) there is an equivalent standard in

local GAAP and same with IFRS with certain exceptions (3 points); (iv) and there is an equivalent standards in local GAAP and same with IFRS for all material aspects (4 points). Degree of convergence is the average score value of the 20 standards used as mentioned above. This measurement is based on the reports of similarities and differences between of local GAAP to IFRS issued by Big 4 public accounting firms such as Ernst & Young, Pricewaterhouse Cooper, Deloitte, and KPMG.

3.2.2. Investor Protection

Investor protection is measured by legal tradition, corporate law and enforcement, and securities law (La Porta et al. 1998, 2006). Corporate law and enforcement consists of two components namely the anti-director rights index and rule of law. Value of index for the investor protection in this study is the sum of the values for each of the four components of investor protection. Those components are assessed by giving the value 1 for countries that are classified as countries with better protection for investors (classified into "high"), and the value of 0 for countries that are classified as countries with poor investor protection (classified into "low"). The maximum value is given to the state 4 and the minimum value is 0.

Legal origin of a country is measured by dummy variables with value 1 for common law countries and the value 0 for the civil law countries. Classification of countries by legal origin is taken from La Porta et al. (1998). Common law country is classified as a country that provides good protection for investors ("high" = value 1), and a civil law country is classified as a country that provide poor protection for investors ("low"= value 0).

Corporate law and enforcement measure investor protection in terms of corporate law which regulate the protection of the rights of investors and rule of law in a country, measure by anti-director rights index. This research uses the index values presented in La Porta et al. (1998). A country is classified as a country that provides good protection for investors

("high" = value 1) if the country's value of anti-director rights index is more or equal to 3, and the country is classified as a country that provides poor protection for investors ("low" = value 0) if the country's value of anti-director rights index is less than 3.

While the law enforcement measures for investor protection through enforcement of laws that cover the four aspects (La Porta et al., 1998), namely: (1) *Efficiency of the judicial system* (La Porta et al.,1998). (2) *Rule of law;* this value is the index generated by the International Country Risk (IRC) by using the average of the monthly index for one year during the study period. (3) *Corruption;* this study uses an index value of level corruption in the country reported by the International Country Risk (IRC). (4) *Risk of expropriation;* this value is also an index value generated by the International Country Risk (IRC). A country is classified as a country that provides good investor protection ("high" = value 1) for country with score above 5 for average efficiency of the judicial system, law enforcement, corruption, and the risk of expropriation, 0 therwise.

Securities Law measures investor protection in terms of legislation governing the capital markets. These variables include the three aspects of investor protection that governed by the laws of capital markets (La Porta et al., 2006), namely: (i) the disclosure reequirement; (ii) litigation standards, and (iii) the public enforcement. Data of the Securities Law variable is taken from La Porta et al. (2006). A country is classified as a country that provides good investor protection ("high" = value 1) for country with score above 5 for average efficiency of the disclosure requirement, litigation standard, and public enforcement, 0 therwise.

3.2.3. Corporate Governance

This variable measures the level of implementation of corporate governance at the company. This study uses the value of corporate governance made by the Asian Securities Credit Lyonnais (CLSA), as presented in the report that CLSA CG Watch 2005 and 2007. CLSA reports made periodically once every two years. Questions in the questionnaire include



several categories such as management discipline, transparency, independency, accountability, responsibility, fairness, and social awareness. Corporate governance index value in 2004 refers to the index value of CLSA CG Watch 2005 and the value of corporate governance index in 2006 refers to the index value of CLSA CG Watch 2007.

3.3. Empirical Test

To test the hypotheses this study use Ordinary Least Square (OLS) with Dummy Variables for year and countries to accommodate the variability of earnings qualities among year and countries. For Dummy Year we use 2006 as year of reference, and for Dummy Countries we use Indonesia as country of reference. The use of OLS require us to test the BLUE (*Best Linear Unbiased Estimate*) requirement. One of the problems that we face is multicollinearity from several interaction variables. We use centering technique to address this problem. Centering, developed by Conbranch (1987), is one of the methods to solve multicollinearity especially for regression with interaction variables (Aikea *et al.*, 1991). With this method the variable Xi is subctracted by its average. Then the interaction variable is the multiplication of variable that has been centered.

3.4. Sample Selection

Sample selection procedure can be seen in Table 1. Based on the sample selection procedure, we obtained 330 sample companies. Observation was considered an outlier and deleted if it is outside the range of the average \pm three times the standard deviation for each variable in each research model. The number of sample firms in the study was relatively small compared to the number of listed companies in ten countries examined in this study. However, compared to the value of market capitalization of the ten countries examined in this study, then the sample companies have a fairly large proportion of market capitalization. Over all, the proportion of market capitalization for the ten countries is 40% and 45% in 2004 and 2006 respectively. While the average proportion of

market capitalization of sample companies to total market capitalization for the ten countries is 59% and 66% in 2004 and 2006. Based on high proportion of market capitalization, we can conclude that the sample companies can be considered to represent the company in the capital markets in ten countries in this study.

Insert Table 1 here

4. Analysis of Result

4.1. Descriptive Statistic

Descriptive statistics and correlation matrix are shown in Table 2 and 3. Table 2 shows that for conservatism, the average sample firm has a positive CON values and high variation of these variables. Table 3 presents correlation coefficients for all variables used in the models. The correlation coefficients presented in Table 3 indicate that little multicollinearity is present in the system.

Insert Table 2 here Insert Table 3 here

4.2. Regression Result

The results of the regression models, which test the relation between accounting standards convergence to IFRS, investor protection, corporate governance, and also interaction among those variables, are presented in Tables 4. Table 4 presents the regression results for the conservatism model. The convergence index level of local GAAP to IFRS positively affects company's conservatism. This finding is consistent with Gassen and Sellhorn (2006) and Barth et al. (2007) which states that companies that adopt IFRS is more conservative. From governance system perspective, the result shows that investor protection positively affects level of conservatism. This evidence is consistent with Bushman and Piotroski (2006) who conclude that companies located in countries that have a high quality of

the judicial system will tend to be more conservative. Institutional structure of a country will affect the company's managerial decisions related to the principles of conservatism. At firm level, the index of corporate governance also has a positive impact on the level of conservatism. This research supports Ahmed and Duellman (2007) which states that companies with good corporate governance mechanisms will require a higher conservatism so that can reduce agency costs arised from asymmetric information between managers with other parties. These results also support the argument of Ball (2001) suggests that conservatism will facilitate the implementation of governance through its role as the company's monitoring function to investment policy.

Insert Table 4 here

For the interaction variables, CONVERGE*IP and GOV*IP shows significant negative effects to conservatism, whreas CONVERGE*GOV shows a significant positive effet to conservatism. The negative interactions indicate that in countries that provide weak protection for investors the role of accounting standards that converge to IFRS and implementation of good corporate governance is more important in increasing accounting conservatism. The positive interactions indicate that role of accounting standards that converge to IFRS will be higher in companies with better corporate governance implementation. For the control variables, the result shows that variables DEBT, DREG, and DYEAR are negatively effect conservatism.

5. Conclusion

5.1. Conclusion and Implication

This research examines the impact of accounting standards and governance systems, both at the country level and firm level to earnings quality. We use five measures of earnings quality, which are earnings predictability, earnings manajemen, timeliness, ERC, and conservatism. Our study concludes that the degree of convergence of local GAAP to IFRS and governance systems, both country level and corporate level, generally positively effect on earnings quality.

This study proves that the effect of degree of convergence of local GAAP to IFRS to earnings quality will be greater for companies in countries with weak investor -protection. Accounting standards that converge to international standards will substitute the weakness of the legal system of the county. The study also proves that in general the impact of corporate governance to improve the quality of earnings is higher also in countries with weak investor protection. In general, this study also proved that in company with weak corporate governance, the adoption of international standards will increase the quality of earnings.

5.2. Limitation and Suggestion for Future Research

Several limitations of this research are: (i) subjective judgement in developed a measure of the level of convergence of local GAAP to IFRS with comparisons between the local GAAP to IFRS for 20 accounting standard. For future research subjectivity could be decrease by conducting Focus Grop Discussion to asses the degree of convergence; (ii) this study uses data investor protection taken from La Porta et al. (1997, 1998, and 2006). The disadvantage is that data is not up to date. Even though this data still relevance to measure investor protection, further research could use other up dated data to measure investor protection; (iii) the sample of companies in this study is the companies included in the CLSA survey. Companies selected as the respondent on that survey is a large-scaled company and companies with high analyst following. Therefore, these results may not be generalized for small-scale firms; (iv) this study uses only two-year study period, which is 2004 and 2006. Further research sould expand the research period.

References

- Ahmed, A.S., Duellman, S., 2007. Accounting conservatism and board of director characteristics: An empirical analysis, *Journal of Accounting and Economics*.
- Aikea, Leona S., Stephen G. West, dan Raymond R. Reno. 1991. Multiple regression: testing and interpreting interaction. *Mathematics*.
- Ali A., L. Hwang, 2000. Country-specific factors related to financial reporting and the value relevance of accounting data. *Journal of Accounting Research* 38, 1-23.
- Ashbaugh, H. dan M. Pincus., 2001. Domestic Accounting Standards, International Accounting Standards, and the Predictability of Earnings. *Journal of Accounting Research* 39: 417- 434.
- Ball, R., S. P. Kothari, dan A. Robin., 2000. The effect of international institutional factors on properties of accounting earnings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 29, 1–51.
- Barth, M., W. Landsman, dan M. Lang., 2007. International Accounting Standards and Accounting Quality, Working paper, Stanford University and University of North Carolina.
- Basu, S., 1997. The conservatism principle and the asymmetric timeliness of earnings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 24, 3–37.
- Beasley, M. S., 1996. An Empirical Analysis of the Relation between the Board of Director Composition and Financial Statement Fraud. *The Accounting Review*, vol. 71 no. 4 (Oct.), pp. 443-465
- Becker, L. Connie, L.D. Mark, J. James, dan K.R. Subramanyam, 1998. The Effect of Audit Quality on Earnings Management. *Contemporary Accounting Research*. Vol. 15, No. 1, 1-24.
- Bushman, R. dan J. Piotroski, 2006. Financial reporting incentives for conservative accounting: the influence of legal and political institutions, *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 42(1-2), pp. 107-48.
- Cuijpers, R., dan W. Buijink, 2005. Voluntary Adoption of Non-Local GAAP in the European Union: A Study of Determinants and Consequences. *European Accounting Review*, Vol. 14, pp. 487-524.
- Daske, Holger., Luzi Hail, Christian Leuz, dan Rodrigo Verdi., 2007. Mandatory IFRS Reporting Around the World: Early Evidence on the Economic Consequences. *Initiative on Global Markets, Working Paper 12 The University of Chicago, Graduate School of Business*
- DeFond, Mark L., dan M. Hung, 2004. Investor protection and corporate governance: evidence from worldwide CEO turnover. *Journal of Accounting Research* 42, 269–312.
- DeFond, Mark L. dan Mingyi Hung, 2007. Investor Protection and Analysts' Cash Flow Forecasts Around the World. Working Paper Leventhal School of Accounting Marshall School of Business University of Southern California.
- DeFond, Mark., Mingyi Hung, dan Robert Trezevant., 2004. Investor protection and the information content of annual earnings announcements: International evidence, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 43, 37–67
- Dechow, P.M., 1994. Accounting earnings and cash flows as measures of firm performance: the role of accounting accruals. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 18, 3–42.
- Dechow, Patricia M., Richard G. Sloan, dan Amy P. Sweeney., 1996. Cause and Consequences of Earnings Manipulation: An Analysis of Firm Subject to Enforcement Actions by the SEC. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, Vol 13 no. 1, 1-36.
- Dhaliwal, Dan, Vic Naiker, dan Farshid Navissi., 2007. Audit Committee Financial Expertise, Corporate Governance and Accruals Quality: An Empirical Analysis. *Working Paper*.
- Easterbrook, F., dan D. Fischel, 1991. The Economic Structure of Corporate Law. *Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge, MA*.
- Francis, Jere R., Inder K. Khurana, dan Raynolde Pereira., 2001. Investor Protection Laws, Accounting and Auditing Around the World, *Working paper College of Business University of Missouri-Columbia*.
- Francis, Jere R., dan Dechun Wang., 2006. The Joint Effect of Investor Protection and Big 4 Audits on Earnings Quality Around the World. *Working paper College of Business University of Missouri-Columbia*.
- Gassen, Joachim. dan Thorsten Sellhorn., 2006. Applying IFRS in Germany Determinants and Consequences. *Working paper Universität zu Berlin*.
- Givoly, D., C. Hayn, dan A. Natarajan, 2007. Measuring reporting conservatism. *The Accounting Review* 82, 65–106.
- Hope, O. -K., J. Jin, dan T. Kang, 2006. Empirical evidence on jurisdictions that adopt IFRS. *Journal of International Accounting Research*, 5(2).
- Hung, M., 2001. Accounting standards and value relevance of financial statements: an international analysis, *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 30(3), pp. 401-20.
- Klapper, Leora F., dan Inessa Love., 2004. Corporate governance, investor protection, and performance in emerging markets *Journal of Corporate Finance* 10: 703–728.



www.sna13purwokerto.com

- Klein, April., 2002. Audit Committee, Board of Director Characteristics, and Earning Management. Journal of Accounting and Economics, Vol. 33, 375-400.
- La Porta, R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, dan R. Vishny., 1997. Legal determinants of external finance. Journal of Finance 52, 1131-1150.
- La Porta, R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, dan R. Vishny., 1998. Law and finance. Journal of Political Economy 106, 1113-1155.
- La Porta, R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, dan R. Vishny., 2000. Investor protection and corporate governance. Journal of Financial Economics 58, 3-27.
- La Porta, R., Lopez-De-Silanes, F., dan A. Shleifer., 2006. What works in securities laws? *Journal of Finance*, 61(1), pp. 1-32.
- Leuz ,Christian., Dhananjay Nanda, dan Peter D. Wysocki., 2003. Investor Protection and Earnings Management: An International Comparison, Journal of Financial Economics 69.
- Meulen, Sofie Van der., Ann Gaeremynck, dan Marleen Willekens., 2007. Attribute differences between U.S. GAAP and IFRS earnings: An exploratory study. The International Journal of Accounting, 42: 123-142
- Niu, Flora F., 2006. Corporate Governance and the Quality of Accounting Earnings: A Canadian Perspective. International Journal of Managerial Finance. Vol. 2 No. 4, 302-327.
- Petra, Steven T., 2007. The Effect of Corporate Governance on the Informativeness of Earnings. Economics of Governance. Vol. 8, 129-152.
- Renders, Annelies., dan Ann Gaeremynck., 2005. The Impact of Legal and Voluntary Investor Protection on the Early Adoption of IFRS. Working papers Department of Applied Economics Leuven-Belgium.
- Shleifer, Andrei., dan Robert Vishny., 1997. A Survey of Corporate Governance. The Journal of Finance. June, Vol. 52 (2), 737-783.
- Teoh, Siew Hong dan T.J. Wong, 1993. Perceived Auditor Quality and the Earning Response Coefficient. Accounting Review. Vol. 68, 2, 346-366.
- Thai, Kriengkrai Boonlert-U., Gary K. Meek, dan Sandeep Nabar., 2006. Earnings attributes and investorprotection: International evidence. The International Journal of Accounting 41: 327-357
- Velury, Uma., dan David S. Jenkins., 2006. Institutional ownership and the quality of earnings. Journal of Business Research 59 1043-1051
- Watts, R.L. 1993. A proposal for research on conservatism. Working paper, University of Rochester (presented at American Accounting Association national meeting, San Francisco, CA).
- Watts, R.L., 2003a. Conservatism in accounting part I: explanations and implications. Accounting Horizons 17, 207-221.
- Xie, Biao, Wallace N. Davidson III, dan Peter J. DaDalt., 2003. Earnings Management and Corporate Governance: the Role of the Board and the audit Committee. Journal of Corporate Finance. Vol. 9. 295-
- Zhou, Jian dan Ken Y. Chen., 2004. Committee, Board Characteristics and Earnings Management by Commercial Banks. Working Paper.

Table 1. Samples Selection Procedures

The number of listed companies in 11 countries	10.498									
Number of firms surveyed by CLSA in 2004 and 2006	582									
Number of companies in China are included in the CLSA survey										
Companies in Finance, Real Estate, and Property Industry										
Company with incomplete data										
Total sample before excluding outlier	330									
Outliers:										
- In Earnings Predictability Model	(29)									
- In Earnings Neutrality (Earnings Management) Model	(22)									
- In Earnings Timelines Model	(4)									
- In Representational Faithfulness (ERC) Model	(10)									
- In Conservatism Model										
Number of simple companies:										
- In Earnings Predictability Model	301									
- In Earnings Neutrality (Earnings Management) Model	308									
- In Earnings Timelines Model	326									
- In Representational Faithfulness (ERC) Model	320									
- In Conservatism Model	312									
Firm Years Observations (2004 and 2006):										
- In Earnings Predictability Model	602									
- In Earnings Neutrality (Earnings Management) Model	616									
- In Earnings Timelines Model	652									
- In Representational Faithfulness (ERC) Model	640									
- In Conservatism Model	624									

Table 2. Statistic Descriptive

Statistic Descriptive											
Variables	Mean	Maximum	Std.								
				Deviation							
KON	0.259	-0.379	3.419	0.696							
CONVERGENCE	3.085	2.550	3.850	0.397							
IP	3.115	1.000	4.000	0.877							
GOV	59.472	14.300	96.200	16.475							
GROWTH	0.262	-0.898	10.886	0.645							
DEBT	0.532	0.043	4.090	0.230							
	Proportion	of Dummy 1	Proportion of Dummy 0								
AQ	73.	33%	26.67%								
LOSS	5.4	5.45% 94.55%									
DREG	32.	32.42% 67.58%									



Table 3. Correlation Matrix

DYEAR	009	.826	061	.116	323	000	990	.075	.039	319	.071	990	035	365	000	1.000	.544	000	720	.050	.057	.144	710.	.661	025	.524	041	291	051	.189	027	494	000	1.000	-	
DREG	.121	.002	.062	.115	039	.313	015	.694	.055	.157	012	.758	-000	819	-:128	9	032	.415	.020	909	.094	.016	.082	.036	000	.994	910.	.619	.046	234	.018	.637	-		000	1.000
COSS	-:058	.138	.082	.036	079	.042	018	.645	010	795	533	000	087	.026	-:007	.865	190.	.117	089	.022	.063	.108	053	.173	047	224	-:065	.095	.234	000	-		.018	.637	027	.494
DEBT	990'-	.148	.245	.000	-:045	.244	007	.860	.015	.701	-,419	000	.002	7967	072	.065	.022	.580	-100	010.	.082	.036	960	.012	.122	.002	710.	.660	+		.162		001	.982	024	.543
GROWTH	710.	.671	.001	.971	870.	.046	001	.981	ľ			.048	.015	.702	.023	.554	044	255	025	515	046	243	008	.845	002	.956	1		.014	.729	142				-:145	.000
FRSGOV	740.	.227	370.	.055	.013	.737	044	.265	015	.695	038	334	.020	.614	.044	265	214	000	032	.413	224	.000	279	.000	1		017	.670	.084	.032	064	.102	011	.774	.032	.418
GOMP	710	.671	900	.895	068	.081	.003	.931	082	.035	022	.580	001	973	348	000	.035	.373	-135	100.	270	000	-		.316		029		1110.	.782	086	.027	090	.123	.045	249
FRSIP	:103	.008	076	.051	252	.000	036	.352	.003	.937	023	.562	006	878	-371	000	422	000	.051	195	1		259	.000	236		ľ		.094	.016	.085	.028	760.	.013	221	.000
NO9	6/0:-	.043	-:086	.027	011	.775	024	.533	.029	.459	920.	.045	.032	.410	727	000	727	000	-		920.	.045	.411	000	.024	.534	084	.032	005	906	080	.040	.028	.478	1.70.	.069
FRS	.047	228	-:092	.018	338	.000	-:050	.199	010	.807	073	.063	013	.742	303	000	1		356	000	514	000	.188	000	258	.000	186	000	980.	720.	990	.093	-:040	309	.553	.000
₫	-:075	.054	001	.985	.152	.000	910.	.632	113	.004	017	.654	.001	.973	-		.333	000	197	000	268	000	.041	294	.102	.009	.040	304	073	.060	013	.730	-:116	.003	000	1.000
DEPS	-000	908	0005	.892	600	.809	008	.831	001	990	.041	297	-		131	100	073	.062	.016	.682	-200	000	061	118	.016	.687	.310	000	106	900	-208	000	087	.026	-099	.011
INC	174	.000	098	.012	.086	.027	.035	.364	.037	.339	-		175	000	660:	110.	-:127	100.	.020	.602	-:060	.122	.036	.351	047	.232	.243	.000	448	000	392	000	.031	.422	190.	.038
CON	.038	.327	.003	.933	.011	787	.034	.389	-		159	000	790	.086	316	000	182	000	037	.347	228	000	003	942	039	.318	.127	100	016	.680	057	141	037	.349	019	.633
CAR	910.	679	.019	.625	9/0:	.051	1		.185	000	.155	000	072	.065	710.	.654	-:145	000	-:142	000	-:012	757	-:056	.155	-:055	.162	.148	000	-:084	.030	-:043	.270	-:031	.430	.063	.107
REPLAG	600'-	.810	.012	.764	1		160.	.020	.167	000	.092	.018	.121	.002		000	ľ		065		475	000	068	.083	036			000		745	043		ľ		-,401	.000
ABSDAC	200.	.853	1		101.	.009	.025	.514	780	.026	.083	.033	.132	.001	008	.841	089	.022	018	.649	-:142	.000	.027	.486	091	.020	101.	.010	.074	.059	.073	.061	019	.619	029	.453
CFO	1		036	.358	097	.013	990:	.082	.033	.400	343	000	.002	964	-:007	.860	790.	.145	055	.161	174	000	720.	.486		.686	900	.886	-:181	000	-:082	.015	.187	000	.062	.114
	CFO		ABSDAC		REPLAG		CAR		NO S		NC		DEPS		₫		FRS		200		FRSIP		GOVIP		FRSGOV		GROWT		DEBT		SSOT		DREG		DYEAR	

Number at upper diagonal is Pearson Correlation and at lower diagonal is Spearman Correlation

Table 4. Regression Result on Conservatism Model

Model 5

 $\begin{aligned} CON_{i,t} &= \zeta_0 + \zeta_1 \ LOGIP_{i,t} + \zeta_2 EIFRS_{i,t} + \zeta_3 EGOV_{i,t} + \zeta_4 EAQ_{i,t} + \zeta_5 EIFRS_{i,t} * LOGIP_{i,t} + \\ & \zeta_6 EGOV_{i,t} * LOGIP_{i,t} + \zeta_7 EAQ_{i,t} * LOGIP_{i,t} + \zeta_8 EAQ_{i,t} * EGOV_{i,t} + \zeta_9 GROWTH_{i,t} + \\ & \zeta_{10} DEBT_{i,t} + \zeta_{11} LOSS_{i,t} + \zeta_{12} DREG_{i,t} + \zeta_{13} DREG_{i,t} + \zeta_{14-22} DCOUNTRY_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t} \end{aligned}$

Dependent Variable: CON												
Independent Variables	Expected Sign	Coefficients	Significance	VIF								
CONSTANT		***0.894	0.000									
CONVERGE	+	***0.690	0.000	2.456								
IP	+	***1.112	0.001	3.665								
GOV	+	**0.108	0.028	1.498								
CONVERGE*IP	+/-	***-5.856	0.000	5.220								
CONVERGE*GOV	+/-	***1.444	0.000	1.355								
GOV*IP	+/-	***-0.289	0.003	1.476								
AQ	+	0.021	0.537	1.329								
GROWTH	+	-0.048	0.384	1.166								
DEBT	+	**-0.174	0.024	1.140								
LOSS	-	-0.046	0.490	1.088								
DREG	+/-	***-0.075	0.009	1.073								
DYEAR	+/-	***-0.278	0.000	4.351								
DCOUNTRY	+/-	INCLUD	DED									
F test Sign		0.000										
Adj R Square		0.786										
N		624		•								

^{***}Significance at 1%

^{**} Significance at 5%

^{*} Significance at 10%